

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,194	12/22/2004	Nobuaki Yagi	040894-7146	6809
9629 MORGAN LE	7590 09/19/200 WIS & BOCKIUS LLE	EXAMINER		
1111 PENNS	LVANIA AVENUE N		LOPEZ, MICHELLE	
WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/519,194	YAGI ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Michelle Lopez	3721					

	Michelle Lopez	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely flo one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 T CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exh under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		inpliant Americanient (102-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-5</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:							

/PAUL R. DURAND/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that Yoshie is not well explained or accurate since does not meet the "locking means formed between the wing piece of the table and the main body." This is not found persuasive since a reference is deemed to anticipate a claim when all the rectled structural limitations are disclosed by the reference.

The Examiner maintains that the rejection is proper and the claimed strucutral limitations are shown by Yoshie. Figs. 46, 55, 70-71, clearly shows such locking means as claimed.